



Forum sur un enjeu professionnel
**Promouvoir la formation et la collaboration
interprofessionnelles en ergothérapie**
Congrès de l'ACE, Fredericton Mai 2014

Introduction

Depuis plusieurs années, on a ciblé, au sein du système de santé canadien, un besoin criant de stratégies de travail et d'apprentissage axées sur la collaboration interprofessionnelle (CIP). La CIP est décrite comme l'interaction continue entre deux professions ou disciplines et plus, qui combinent leurs efforts afin de résoudre ou d'examiner des questions communes, avec la plus grande participation possible du patient (Oandasan et al., 2004).

Un besoin d'apprentissage interprofessionnel en collaboration favorisant l'acquisition de compétences pour communiquer, travailler en équipe, résoudre des conflits et comprendre les rôles des autres intervenants a été mis en relief dans la littérature sur l'éducation et la sécurité du patient et renforcé par les changements survenus au sein du système de santé (Fondation canadienne pour l'amélioration des services de santé, 2006). La Fondation canadienne pour l'amélioration des services de santé suggère que le travail d'équipe efficace peut améliorer la qualité des soins, rehausser la sécurité du patient et réduire les problèmes liés à des charges de travail trop lourdes. Par ailleurs, une revue Cochrane réalisée par Zwarenstein, Goldman et Reeves (2009) permet de conclure que les interventions pratiques spécifiques qui favorisent la CIP semblent prometteuses pour améliorer les soins de santé et les résultats des patients. De plus, le Consortium pancanadien pour l'interprofessionnalisme en santé (2010) estime que la CIP et la formation interprofessionnelle (FIP) sont essentielles pour bâtir des équipes de santé efficaces et pour améliorer les expériences et les résultats des patients.

Les ergothérapeutes, qui sont appelés à jouer des rôles de praticiens, éducateurs, chercheurs et décideurs, doivent connaître et comprendre les enjeux liés à la CIP et la FIP pour répondre aux besoins actuels et futurs des Canadiens en matière de santé. Ce forum sur un enjeu professionnel permettra aux participants de découvrir les recherches actuelles et des ressources pratiques et de prendre part à une discussion qui sera éclairée par des leaders reconnus en CIP et en FIP. Environ 60 personnes se sont joint aux Forum sur un enjeu professionnelle.

(Nos excuses. Les ressources ne sont pas disponibles présentement pour traduction.)

Who was involved in the PIF?

The Forum was facilitated by:

- Dr. Bonny Jung, Professor McMaster University MSc (OT) Program, and Director of the Program for Interprofessional Practice, Education and Research (PIPER) at McMaster University's Faculty of Health Sciences.

Panelists were:

- Dr. Lesley Bainbridge, Director, Interprofessional Education in the Faculty of Medicine and Associate Principal, College of Health Disciplines at the University of British Columbia.
- Dr. Vernon Curran, Professor of Medical Education and Director of Academic Research and Development with the Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University, Newfoundland. Dr. Curran is also past Director of the Centre for Collaborative Health Professional Education, Memorial University. Coordinated by:
- Elizabeth Steggles, Director of Standards, CAOT.

What was the format of the Forum?

The format of the forum included initial presentations by Drs. Curran, Bainbridge and Jung, followed by small group discussions led by facilitators that included the panellists and occupational therapists that represented clinicians, academics, regulators, CAOT Board members and WFOT. Student occupational therapists from the University of British Columbia and McMaster University generously offered their support as volunteer recorders.

What was presented?

- Dr. Curran presented the concept of interprofessional education and collaboration and addressed the evidence to support an interprofessional approach together with current trends and strategies for its implementation. He introduced the Interprofessional Collaborator Assessment Rubric (ICAR) (Curran et al. 2011) and the Building a Better Tomorrow Initiative that have been developed and successfully implemented in Newfoundland and Labrador.
- Dr. Bainbridge described the Accreditation of Health Professional Education (AIPHE) initiative, which includes six professions including occupational therapy and the National Competency Framework for Interprofessional Collaboration (CIHC, 2010).
- Dr. Jung introduced the concept of intra-professional collaboration and education described by Hayden-Sloane (2005). She included occupational therapists, occupational therapy assistants and other support personnel. Dr. Jung linked intraprofessional collaboration to the Core Competencies of “Collaborator” and “Communicator” and also described a project between Mohawk College OTA and PTA Diploma Program and McMaster University OT and PT Programs that identified challenges encountered in intra-professional education.

What was discussed at the Round Tables?

The panel presentations were followed by small, facilitated discussion groups and a larger discussion to share the ideas that were generated.

Some important themes that emerged from the Professional Issues Forum included:

- there remains an inconsistency amongst occupational therapists and others about what is meant by IPE/C
- IPE/C requires time to develop and implement needing “buy-in” from all stakeholders including preceptors and senior management
- students gain a clear understanding of IPC during their training but its implementation in practice is inconsistent and not always supported
- the importance of defining, promoting and advocating the occupational therapy role, emphasizing our unique reasoning process and not just the end product
- a need for consistent and intentional modelling of inter and intraprofessional collaboration and practice at all levels
- there is a need for more dialogue, research and sharing of how inter and intraprofessional collaboration can influence health outcomes

Most importantly and true to our philosophy of client-centred practice, one very clear message expressed from the participants was that the client should remain central to how and what we do. One participant noted simply, “If work occurs around clients, collaboration will occur”. Many participants looked forward to reviewing the resources provided at the PIF and to put some of the strategies into action after the conference. Some innovative strategies shared included: knowledge transfer techniques such as developing 30 second summaries of our role, use of various media such as internet and local newspapers to communicate our messages; review how our professional guidelines and documents can be applied in this context; advocacy at a systems level (government and organizations), and; purposeful collaboration between student occupational therapists and rehabilitation assistants.

Follow-up discussion and recommendations:

An outcome of the PIF indicates that there may be a need for CAOT and members of the profession to develop additional resources to support IPC and IPE. These could include the development of a joint position statement, a special issue of OT Now and additional education resources offered by CAOT (Lunch and Learns, Webinars etc).

There is still much work ahead of us as a profession to move both interprofessional and intraprofessional practice into the future; however the PIF highlighted that there are many rich opportunities for building our strong foundations across the country.

References

- Canadian Health Services Research Foundation, (2006). *Teamwork in Healthcare: Promoting Effective Teamwork in Healthcare in Canada*. Retrieved from, <http://www.chsrf.ca/www.fcrrs.ca>
- Canadian Interprofessional Health Collaborative (2010). *CIHC Overview*. Retrieved from <http://www.cihc.ca/about/overview>
- Curran, V., Hollett, A., Casimiro, L., McCarthy, P., Banfield, V., Hall, P., Lackie, K., Oandasan, I., Simmons, B., & Wagner, S. (2011). Development and validation of the interprofessional collaborator assessment rubric (ICAR). *Journal of interprofessional Care*, 25, 5, 339-344.

- Curran, V.R., Sargeant, J., Hollett, A. Evaluation of an interprofessional continuing professional development initiative in primary health care *Journal of continuing education in the health professions* 2007 Fall; 27(4):241-52
- Hayden-Sloane, P. (2005). Collaborative models of treatment. In K. Sladyk and S. Ryan (Eds.), *Ryan's occupational therapy assistant: principles, practice issues, and techniques (4th ed.)*. Thorofare, NJ: Slack, pp. 23-32.
- Oandasan, I, Kwan, D., Zwarenstein, M., Barker, K., Purden, M., Beaulieu, M, et al. (2004). *Interdisciplinary education for collaborative, patient centered practice: Research and findings report*. Ottawa: Health Canada.
- Zwarenstein, M., Goldman, J. & Reeves, S. (2009). *Interprofessional collaboration: Effects of practice-based interventions on professional and healthcare outcomes*. Cochrane Db of Syst Rev. Retrieved from, http://www.implementationscience.com/sfx_links.asp?ui=1748-5909-5-53&bibl=B0

Appendices

The following were provided to participants:

- Agenda and handout (English) - http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/Agenda_Interprofessional_PIF_2014.pdf
- Agenda and handout (French) - http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/Agenda_Interprofessional_PIF_2014_FR.pdf
- AIPHE Interprofessional Health Education Accreditation Standards Guide - http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/AIPHE%20Interprofessional%20Health%20Education%20Accreditation%20Standards%20Guide_EN.pdf
- AIPHE Principles and Implementation Guide - http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/AIPHE_Principles_and_Implementation_Guide_EN.pdf
- CIHC IP Competencies Feb 2010 - http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/CIHC_IPCompetencies_Feb1210r.pdf
- Systematic Reviews of Interprofessional Education - <http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/PIF/Systematic%20Reviews%20of%20Interprofessional%20Education.pdf>